



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/530,983                               | 05/08/2000  | GUSTAVO DECO         | P000861                 | 5072             |
| 7590 12/03/2002<br>SCHIFF HARDIN & WAITE |             |                      |                         |                  |
| 0011111                                  |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| PATENT DEPA<br>6600 SEARS TO             | OWER        |                      | OROPEZA, FRANCES P      |                  |
| CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473                   |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 3762                    |                  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | ·•  | 91      |
|--|--|---|---------|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |         |
|  | 09/530,983   | DECO ET AL.   |         |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  | · · · · |
|  | Frances P. Oropeza   | 3762  |         |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet w   | vith the correspondence address   |         |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned pateint term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a sly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communical  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ion.    |
| Status   |  |   |         |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12  | <del>}</del>   |   |         |
| <b>/</b> \   | his action is non-final.   |   |         |
| <ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allow<br/>closed in accordance with the practice under<br/>Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>  |  |   | s is    |
| 4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application  | n  |   |         |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra   |  |   |         |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | with the first consideration.  |   |         |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.  |  | ·   |         |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |         |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | or election requirement.   |   |         |
| Application Papers   |  |   |         |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.  |   |         |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  | epted or b) objected to by   | the Examiner.   |         |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | ·  | • •   |         |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on   | _ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ o   | disapproved by the Examiner.  |         |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re   | • •  | •   |         |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | kaminer.   |   |         |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |   |         |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig   | n priority under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |         |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |  |   |         |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>  | ts have been received.   |   |         |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document   |  | <del></del>   |         |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>  | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | _   |         |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest   | ic priority under 35 U.S.C.  | § 119(e) (to a provisional applica  | ation). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro   |  |   |         |
| Attachment(s)  | ,  | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •   |         |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of   | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | .·<br>  |

## **FACSIMILE COVER SHEET**

Our Reference:

09/530,983

Your Reference:

P000861

Date: 12/19/02

To:

Matthew Q. Ammon (202) 434-1513

Firm: Staas & Halsey, LLP

Telephone Facsimile Number: (202) 434-1501

Total pages including cover: 2

From: Frances P. Oropeza

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Telephone: 703-605-4355 Facsimile: 703-306-4520

Message:

Dear Mr. Ammon,

Attached please find a copy of the corrected Offiice Action Summary for Paper No.17.

Sincerely, Fran

Paper No. 17

Art Unit: 3762

V

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/13/02 has been entered.

# Response to the Amendment of 11/12/02

- 2. Claims 1-18 are pending in this application. Of these claims, claims 1, 16, 17 and 18 are independent.
- 3. The Applicant has amended claims 1, 16, 17 and 18 to include the element of "continuous" information flow. The Applicant made this change "pursuant to the Examiner's suggestion in the Advisory Action".

When the Examiner suggested "the information flow" be "described as continuous", it was because the Applicant had conveyed to the Examiner in his arguments that his invention was misunderstood by the Examiner. The Examiner understood from the Applicant's arguments the information flow process to be a dynamic, continuously changing process, and that it is the monitoring the process of change, rather than the change itself, that serves as the basis of the instant invention. If the Examiner is correct, and this is the invention, this invention must be claimed in the claim language.

The Examiner suggested in the Advisory Action that an amendment of the claims be submitted, the amendment clarifying the relation of the information flow to the normal changes

Art Unit: 3762

or dynamics of the system. The Examiner's comments were not intended to indicate a single word amendment in the independent claims would convey the invention. The Applicant needs to consider all the claim language and amend all claims as needed to convey the Applicant's inventive notion.

Page 3

As related to the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of record, Raydin et al. disclose a method for data processing utilizing a neural network to predict the future occurrence of non-existent medical conditions. The data processing by the neural network entails successive data iterations, read to be using a continuous information flow, to make successful predictions of patient relapse (c 9, ll 49-52).

The Examiner deems the prior art of record reads on the amended claims, hence the rejections of record stand as noted in paragraphs 5 and 6 of this action.

4. As related to the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection over Ravdin et al (US 5862304) in view of Abrams et al. (US 6117066), the Applicant stated "the Examiner has not yet pointed to any motivation to combine, in the references themselves". The Examiner did provide the motivation to combine the references, based on passages from the references, in the Advisory Action; that motivation is repeated below:

"The reference are deemed combinable because Ravdin et al." (US 5862304) "disclose a method to predict a medical condition (c 1, ll 9-11), that medical condition being cancer or psychiatric problems (c 3, ll 18-28), so appropriate therapy can be selected (c 1, ll 25-28) and Abrams et al. (US 6117066) teach the treatment of psychiatric disorders (abstract), read as teaching appropriate therapy for psychiatric problems."

Application/Control Number: 09/530,983

Art Unit: 3762

Page 4

The Examiner also noted in the Advisory Action that the original rejection contained a motivation to combine the references (Paper No. 10, paragraph 5).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. Claims 1-3, 10, and 16-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ravdin et al. (US 5862304) for the reasons of record and the discussion above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 4-9 and 11-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ravdin et al. (US 5862304) in view of Abrams et al. (US 6117066) for the reasons of record and the discussion above.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza whose telephone number is (703) 605-4355. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 6 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 306-4520 for regular communication and (703) 306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3762

12/1/02

JEFFREY R. ASTRZAB PRIMARY EXAMINER

12/2/02